EXHIBIT A

VERDICT FORM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHAKEY'S PIZZA ASIA VENTURES, INC. ("SPAVI") – Plaintiff / Counter-Defendant v.

 $PCJV\ USA,\ LLC,\ et\ al.-Defendants\ /\ Counter-Claimants\ /\ Third-Party\ Plaintiffs$

Case No. 2:24-cv-04546-SB-AGR

Instructions to the Jury

- 1. Answer each question as directed.
- 2. Your answers must be unanimous.
- 3. After completing the form, the Foreperson must sign and date the last page and notify the Court Security Officer.

SECTION I – PLAINTIFF SPAVI'S CLAIMS

Please first turn to "APPENDIX A" attached at the end of this Verdict Form and answer those questions. Then return to this form.

(Answer the following only if Plaintiff proved the elements of a particular claim by a preponderance of the evidence.)

A. Direct Trademark Infringement			
Defendant	YES	SNO	
PCJV USA, LLC			
PCI Trading, LLC			
Guy Koren			
Potato Corner LA Group, LLC			
NKM Capital Group, LLC			
J & K Americana, LLC			
J & K Lakewood, LLC			
J & K Valley Fair, LLC			
J & K Ontario, LLC			
GK Cerritos, LLC			
If you answered "YES" for any Defendant, proceed to answer Question 1-A-1 below.			
If you answered "NO" for all	Defe	endants, skip to Part B.	
1-A-1. If YES , was the infringe	ement	t willful? □ YES □ NO	
If you answered "YES," identif Otherwise, skip to the next sect	•	ch Defendant(s) which or whose infringement was willful.	

B. Contributory Trademark Infringement

(Answer only for any Defendant not already found liable in Part A. Otherwise, skip to Part C.)

Defendant	YES	S NO
PCJV USA, LLC		
PCI Trading, LLC		
Guy Koren		
Potato Corner LA Group, LL	.C 🗆	
NKM Capital Group, LLC		
J & K Americana, LLC		
J & K Lakewood, LLC		
J & K Valley Fair, LLC		
J & K Ontario, LLC		
GK Cerritos, LLC		
C. Quantum Meruit (SPAV	I)	
Defendant	YE	s no
PCJV USA, LLC		
PCI Trading, LLC		
Guy Koren		
Potato Corner LA Group, LI	LC 🗆	
NKM Capital Group, LLC		
J & K Americana, LLC		
J & K Lakewood, LLC	П	П

Document 285-1

ID #:14378

Filed 08/25/25

Page 5 of 11 Page

Case 2:24-cv-04546-SB-AGR

2.	Reasonable Value of Services (Quantum Meruit) – total amount owed to SPAVI (if applicable): \$						
	Identify each liable	Defendant:					
3.	Trade-Secret Damages	s (if applicable): \$					
	Identify each liable	Defendant:					
		·					
F. SPA	AVI's Total Amount of 1	Recovery (if any)					
,	tter understand your verd sible for.)	dict, insert the "total" amount(s) you believe each party is					
PCJV	USA, LLC						
PCI T	rading, LLC						
Guy k	Koren						
Potato	Corner LA Group, LLC						
NKM	Capital Group, LLC						
J & K	Americana, LLC						
J & K	Lakewood, LLC						
J & K	Valley Fair, LLC						
J & K	Ontario, LLC						
GK C	erritos, LLC						

SECTION II – DEFENDANTS / COUNTER-CLAIMANTS / THIRD PARTY PLAINTIFFS PCJV USA PARTIES' CLAIMS

(Answer the following only if PCJV USA Parties proved the elements of a particular claim by a preponderance of the evidence.)

A. Inducing Breach of Contract (Against SPAV)	
☐ Liability Found ☐ No Liability	
If liable, total damages: \$	
Punitive damages (if any): \$	
B. Intentional Interference with Contractual Re	ations (Against SPAVI)
☐ Liability Found ☐ No Liability	
If liable, total damages: \$	
Punitive damages (if any): \$	
C. Intentional Interference with Prospective Eco	onomic Relations (Against SPAVI)
☐ Liability Found ☐ No Liability	
Damages (past + future): \$	
Punitive damages (if any): \$	
D. Negligent Interference with Prospective Econ	omic Relations (Against SPAVI)
☐ Liability Found ☐ No Liability	
Damages (past + future): \$	

E. Aiding and Abetti	ng Tortious Con	duct (Against SPAVI)
☐ Liability Found	☐ No Liability	
Damages: SPAVI \$ _		Cinco Corporation \$
Punitive Damages (if	any): SPAVI \$ _	Cinco Corporation \$
F. Breach of Fiducia	ry Duty (Agains	t SPAVI and Cinco)
☐ Liability Found	☐ No Liability	
Damages: SPAVI \$ _		Cinco Corporation \$
Punitive Damages (if	any): SPAVI \$ _	Cinco Corporation \$
G. Breach of Contra	ct (Against SPA	VI and Cinco)
☐ Liability Found	☐ No Liability	
Damages: SPAVI \$ _		Cinco Corporation \$
H. Breach of Implied	l Covenant of Go	ood Faith & Fair Dealing (Against SPAVI and Cinco)
☐ Liability Found	☐ No Liability	
Damages: SPAVI \$ _		Cinco Corporation \$
I. Quantum Meruit (PCJV USA Part	ties)
Cross-Defendant	Liabili	ity (YES/NO) Amount Owed (\$)
SPAVI		\$
PC International PTE	LTD	\$

Jury Foreperson

Document 285-1

ID #:14382

Filed 08/25/25

Page 9 of 11 Page

Case 2:24-cv-04546-SB-AGR

APPENDIX A

1. Was Cinco Corporation ("Cinco") the first lawful user of the trademarks in the United States?
□ YES □ NO
(Proceed to Question No. 2 in this Appendix A if you answered "YES")
(Return to the verdict form if you answered "NO" and proceed to answer "NO" as to all trademark liability and quantum meruit questions.)
2. Did Cinco continuously control the trademarks in the United States through March 2022?
□ YES □ NO
(Proceed to Question No. 3 in this Appendix A if you answered "NO")
(Proceed to Question No. 4 in this Appendix A if you answered "YES")
3. Did Defendants PCJV USA Parties prove by clear and convincing evidence that Cinco did not continuously control the trademarks in the United States through March 2022?
□ YES □ NO
(Proceed to Question No. 4 in this Appendix A if you answered "NO")
(Return to the verdict form if you answered "YES" and proceed to answer "NO" as to all trademark liability and quantum meruit questions.)
4. Did Plaintiff SPAVI prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Cinco transferred the goodwill associated with the trademarks in the United States to SPAVI in March 2022?
□ YES □ NO
(Proceed to Question No. 5 in this Appendix A if you answered "YES")
(Return to the verdict form if you answered "NO" and proceed to answer "NO" as to all trademark liability and quantum meruit questions.)
5. Did Defendants PCJV USA Parties prove by clear and convincing evidence that the goodwill associated with the trademarks in the United States was not transferred to SPAVI in March 2022?
□ YES □ NO
(Proceed to Question No. 6 in this Appendix A if you answered "NO")
(Return to the verdict form if you answered "YES" and proceed to answer "NO" as to all trademark liability and quantum meruit questions.)

6. Until when did States?	l or d	o Defendants have the right to use the trademarks in the United		
□ May 31, 2024				
☐ Upon expiration of	all fr	anchise agreements entered by PCJV USA, LLC as of May 31, 2024		
☐ 50 years from October 1, 2010 (20 years plus three automatic 10-year renewal options)				
☐ Upon dissolution of	f PCJ	V USA, LLC		
(Proceed to Question	No. 7	if you checked the "May 31, 2024" box.)		
(Return to the verdict form if you checked any other box and proceed to answer "NO" as to all trademark liability questions.)				
7. Did Defendant	s pro	ve by a preponderance of the evidence any of the following defenses?		
Defense	YES	S NO		
Waiver				
Statute of Limitations				
In Pari Delicto				
(Return to the verdict form.)				